

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,429	DEMIR, ALPASLAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ted M. Wang	2611	

All Participants:

(1) Ted M. Wang.

(2) Frederick Koenig III.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 October 2006

Time: 11:15 a.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22, 23, 27 and 31-35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The proposed Examiner amendment has been agreed by the applicant's representative, Frederick Koenig III. The instant application is in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)